

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/369,107

Applicant(s)

Evans et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit

	Kevin Truong	3731	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Kevin Truong	(3) <i>Douglas Evans</i>		
(2) Barry Stein	(4)		
Date of Interview 22 Aug 2000	- ,		
Type: 🗌 Telephonic 🖄 Personal (copy is given to 🔲 applicant 🛛 applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreement was reached. was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1			
Identification of prior art discussed: Hussein et al. (U.S. 5,878,751)			
Further seach is required.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (in each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is confice action. Applicant is not relieved from providis also checked.	s that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response r	Office action, an equirements of t	id since the the last
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an at	ttachment to a signed Office action.		